DECLARATION FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor(s), I hereby declar that:

My residence, mailing address and citizenship are as stated below, next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first, and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first, and

ΞM

joint inventor (if plural patent is sought on the	names are listed below e invention entitled	v) of the subject matter which is	claimed ar	nd for whic	h a
"AN UNSOLICITED	ELECTRONIC MESS	AGE SOURCE VERIFICATION	N AND TRA	CKING S	YSTEM
	,	AND METHOD"			
the specification of wh	ich				
<u>x</u>	is attached hereto.				
	was filed on				as
	United States Applic	ation Number	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
	or PCT International Application Numberand was amended on				
	and was amended o	(if applicable)			
•		(ii applicable)			
I hereby state that I ha including the claims(s)	ive reviewed and under), as amended by any a	rstand the contents of the above amendment referred to above.	e-identified	specification	on,
I acknowledge the dut Title 37, Code of Fede	y to disclose all informa ral Regulations, Sectio	ation known to me to be materia on 1.56 (copy attached).	il to patenta	bility as de	efined in
foreign application(s) to foreign application for which priority is claime	or patent or inventor's cer patent or inventor's cer id:	Title 35, United States Code, Secertificate listed below and have rtificate having a filing date befo	e also identi	ified helow	anv
Prior Foreign Application(s)		·	Claimed		
·. ·			***		
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No	•
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No	·
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No	
hereby claim the beno provisional application	efit under Title 35, Unit (s) listed below	ed States Code, Section 119(e)	of any Unit	ed States	
(Application Number)			(Filing Da) (a)	_
, +F	•	, i	(Filing Da	116)	
(Application Numbe	<u>r)</u>		/Cilina Da	4-1	_
(- 44	•7		(Filing Da	ite)	

I hereby claim benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, Section 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material t patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 (copy attached) which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT International filing date of this application:

(Application Number)	(Filing Date)	(Status - patented, pending, abandoned)
(Application Number)	(Filing Date)	(Status - patented, pending, abandoned)
(Application Number)	(Filing Date)	(Status - patented, pending, abandoned)
on information and belief are be knowledge that willful false state	lieved to be true; and furth ements and the like so mad of the United States Code a	in knowledge are true and that all statements made er that these statements were made with the de are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, and that such willful false statements may ed thereon.
Full Name of Sole/First Inventor	(given name, family name)	Donald H. Osterberg Jr.
Inventor's Signature	(Collection)	Date (0/30/2003
Residence Redwood City, CA (City, State)	<u>~</u>	Citizenship <u>USA</u> (Country)
Mailing Address 1021 Sixteen	th Avenue, Redwood City, C	A 94063, US

Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served. and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

(1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and

- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Confirmation No.:

Donald H. Osterberg Jr.

Not Yet Assigned

Serial No.: Not Yet Assigned

Group Art Unit No.:

d

Not Yet Assigned

Filed on:

Examiner:

Not Yet Assigned

For: AN UNSOLICITED ELECTRONIC MESSAGE SOURCE VERIFICATION AND TRACKING SYSTEM AND METHOD

POWER OF ATTORNEY AND REVOCATION OF PREVIOUS POWERS

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Oracle International Corporation, a Delaware corporation having a place of business at 500 Oracle Parkway, M/S 50P7, Redwood Shores, California 94065, certifies that to the best of its knowledge and belief it is the assignee or is entitled to ownership of the entire right, title, and interest in and to the above-referenced patent application by virtue of an Assignment filed concurrently herewith and represents that the undersigned is a representative authorized and empowered to sign on behalf of Oracle International Corporation, which hereby revokes all powers of attorney previously given and appoints the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s): James P. Hao, Reg. No. 36,398; Anthony C. Murabito, Reg. No. 35,295; John P. Wagner, Reg. No. 35,398; Glenn D. Barnes, Reg. No. 42,293; Thomas M. Catale, Reg. No. 46,434; Jose S. Garcia, Reg. No. 43,628; Mehlin Dean Matthews, Reg. No. 46,127; Lin C. Hsu, Reg. No. 46,315; Ronald M. Pomerenke, Reg. No. 43,009; John F. Ryan, Reg. No. 47,050; William A. Zarbis, Reg. No. 46,120; Matthew J. Blecher, Reg. No. 46,558; Lawrence R. Goerke, Reg. No. 45,927 Eric J. Gash, Reg. No. 46,274; Reginald A. Ratliff, Reg. No. 48,098; Joel D. Youngs, Reg. No. 52,389; Michael R. Hardaway, Reg. No. 52,992 and Cheryl A. Eichtaedt, Reg. No. 50,761; all of

WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO
Two North Market Street, Third Floor
San Jose, California 95113
and

Sanjay Prasad, Reg. No. 36,247; Roger Kennedy, Reg. No. 44,823; Carl L. Brandt, Reg. No. 44,555; Gabriela Buttz, Reg. No. 50,246 of ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION with full power of

10/02/03

Docket No. ORCL-2002-183-01 (OID 2002-183-01)

substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent Trademark Office connected therewith. Send all future correspondence to the attention of Anthony C. Murabito, Reg. No. 35,295 at the above address and direct all telephone calls to (408) 938-9060.

Assignee of Interest:

Oracle

International

Corporation

Dated: 10-8-63

By:

Name:

Poger Kennedi

Title:

Address of Assignee of Interest:

Oracle International Corporation

500 Oracle Parkway - M/S 5OP7

Redwood Shores, CA 94065